

REMARKS

Claims 1-4 and 8 have been amended. No claims have been deleted or added. Accordingly, claims 1-15 remain pending in this application.

Title

In the Advisory Action mailed November 15, 2004, the Examiner did not indicate in Box 7 whether or not the proposed amendments would be entered. Therefore the amendments to the title from the Amendment filed November 2, 2004, have been repeated herein to correct minor informalities.

35 U.S.C. §112

Furthermore, the Remarks contained in the Amendment' filed November 2, 2004, are hereby incorporated by reference. Specifically, the Remarks point out that the "transfer number designation register" is a part of the "data transfer controller". The Examiner's attention is directed to Fig. 2 and its corresponding description, e.g., at pages 20-22 of the specification. Additionally, claim 8 has been amended in this Amendment to further clarify the claim language. Accordingly,

the rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. §112 should be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103

Claims 1-8 and 10-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsuhiro et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,325,489). Claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsuhiro et al. These rejections are traversed as follows.

The present invention includes a data transfer controller in which a control unit continues to transfer data to a memory of a predetermined storage capacity, and cyclically repeats data transfer of a predetermined data amount. The memory is divided into a plurality of storage areas, and an interrupt is issued each time data transfer is completed to each divided storage area. Claim 1, as amended, recites two functions, one of which is an initializing function, and the other of which is the issuance of an interrupt each time data transfer of a predetermined amount is completed within a loop range until the transfer destination address has been initialized under the first function. Thus, under the invention, initialization

is performed automatically, without the requirement that an external request be received, such as from the CPU.

The rejections in the prior Office Actions have only set forth part of the invention, and have ignored the automatic re-initialization feature of the invention, which is autonomously performed without receiving an additional external request or instruction from the CPU. However, this distinction may not have been apparent to the Examiner because claim 1 did not recite a specified area that is repeatedly used and overwritten. Accordingly, to clarify this feature, Applicants have amended independent claims 1-4 so that a specified transfer destination address is initialized for automatically transferring and overwriting data to the initialized specified area. Neither Mitsuhiro, nor the other art of record, taken either singly, or in combination, show or suggest this feature. Thus, claims 1-4 are considered to be allowable, and claims 5-15 are allowable at least because they depend from an allowable base claim.

Appl. No. 09/873,242

ASA-1008

Amendment filed December 6, 2004

Reply to Office Action of Final Rejection

of July 6, 2004 and Advisory Action of November 15, 2004

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR

By *Colin D Barnett*
Reg No 35,061

FOR: Shrinath Malur
Reg. No. 34,663
Tel.: 703-684-1120

Appl. No. 09/873,242

ASA-1008

Amendment filed December 6, 2004

Reply to Office Action of Final Rejection

of July 6, 2004 and Advisory Action of November 15, 2004

Amendment to the Title

Please amend the title as follows:

A SYSTEM FOR TRANSFERRING DATA ~~OF~~BY REUSING A PLURALITY OF
DATA TRANSFERRING AREAS CYCLICALLY.